

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,390	09/01/2000	Salvatore Coffa	99CT22053527	7100
7	590 03/05/2002			
Christopher F Regan			EXAMINER	
Allen Dyer Doppelt Milbrath & Gilchrist PA P O Box 3791			WILLE, DOUGLAS A	
Orlando, FL 32802-3791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	2814
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplicant(s)		
. Office Action Summary		09/653,390	COFFA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Douglas A Wille	2814		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	lanuary 2002			
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	·	is action is non-final.			
<u> </u>	,		prosecution as to the merits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
	Claim(s) 28-58 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-58</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-47</u> is/are rejected.					
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/653,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 30 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 30 refers to P/N junction forming a base-collector region for a bipolar transistor. While a biasing device might employ a bipolar transistor as part of its circuitry, it is not possible that a P-N by itself forms a bipolar transistor and second, any transistor that could be formed by the laser structure would not be part of the biasing device.
- 4. Claim 39 states that the biasing device is "...a bipolar transistor including a base-collector region formed by said doped P/N junction." While a biasing device might employ a bipolar transistor as part of its circuitry, it is not possible that a P-N by itself forms a bipolar transistor and second, any transistor that could be formed by the laser structure would not be part of the biasing device. It is thus not understood what this claim is for and correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,390

Art Unit: 2814

6. Claims 28 - 47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benton et al. in view of Franzo et al.

7. Benton et al. show a laser (see cover Figure and column 2, line 59 et seq.) with a Si substrate 31, a lower clad 32 an active region 33 and an upper clad 34 where the structure is epi, is ribbed and the Si is Er doped. Note that layer 3 has a greater index of refraction than the clad layers (column 3, line 65). Benton et al. do not specify the bias to be applied but it is assumed to be a forward bias, as is customary for lasers. Franzo et al. show that for Er doped Si diodes a higher output is obtained when the device is reverse biased and it would be obvious to modify

the reverse bias will be applied by the appropriate bias circuitry.

8. With respect to claims 32 and 42, it is known in the art to provide a protective layer to a device to avoid environmental degradation and it would be obvious to do so.

the Benton et al. device to include the reverse bias since it improves the output. It is assumed that

- 9. With respect to claims 34 and 44, an SOI device would provide the same structure as the device fabricated as an epi on a single crystal substrate and its use would be obvious as a design option.
- 10. Claims 28 47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benton et al. in view of Coffa et al.
- 11. The above rejection can be repeated with Franzo et al. replaced with Coffa et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Wille whose telephone number is (703) 308-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-3:45).

Application/Control Number: 09/653,390

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Douglas A. Wille Patent Examiner

regles S. Will

February 28, 2002